UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,440	07/18/2006	Futoshi Nakabe	2006_0836A	5602
	7590 05/27/200 , LIND & PONACK L	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			KELLY, RAFFERTY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/586,440	NAKABE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
RAFFERTY KELLY	2876		

			1				
	RAFFERTY KELLY	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires some than the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.	diance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be t	filed within two month	o of the data of				
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) $oxtime \square$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO <mark>1</mark>		oddoc				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	**	tuoina or simplifyina t	ha issues for				
appeal; and/or			ne issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-7 and 9-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Anneal will not	t he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. \square The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. 🛮 Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
/Michael G Lee/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2876							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant presents arguments with respect to amended claim features, however the amendment will not be entered. Therefore, the arguments are not found to be persuasive. Regarding the argument with respect to claim 10, this argument is not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues that Nakabe does not teach all of the features of the claim. However, Nakabe was merely relied upon to teach the read only memory feature. The further aspects of the claim are taught by 14443-3, as detailed in the office action.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment will not be entered because it includes new features that would require further search and consideration. For example, the feature regarding the judged operation mode would require further search and consideration.